



Ten assets. *One signal.*

A concept paper for a transparent, rules-led digital asset basket designed to make the breadth of crypto easier to understand.

A wider lens for a *fast-moving market.*

WPG10 Coin is a creative product concept: a single digital-asset basket intended to represent ten distinct roles within the crypto economy.

The central idea is simple. Crypto is no longer one thesis. It includes monetary assets, smart-contract platforms, settlement systems, oracle infrastructure, interoperability protocols, and on-chain liquidity networks. A rules-led basket can offer a more legible way to observe that landscape than any single token alone.

10

Illustrative constituent assets spanning distinct roles in the digital economy.

01

A single basket concept designed around transparency and a documented review cadence.

Design principles

- Explainable composition over complexity.
- Diversified exposure over a single-network thesis.
- Defined review rules over reactive decision-making.
- Clear disclosures over implied certainty.

Concept status: WPG10 is presented as a creative product and brand concept. This paper is not an offer, investment advice, a promise of returns, or a statement that a live token, fund, or regulated financial product exists.

One basket.

Ten defined roles.

The example composition below is a starting point for discussion, not a final launch specification.

ASSET	ILLUSTRATIVE ROLE	WEIGHT
BTC	Store of value	24%
ETH	Smart-contract layer	20%
SOL	High-performance network	12%
BNB	Exchange ecosystem	10%
XRP	Settlement network	8%
ADA	Research-led protocol	7%
AVAX	Application subnets	6%
LINK	Oracle infrastructure	5%
DOT	Interoperability	4%
UNI	On-chain liquidity	4%

Why capped weights?

A conceptual weighting framework can acknowledge relative market relevance while limiting excessive concentration. The intended outcome is not equal weight at all costs, but a basket whose composition remains understandable and whose risk is not presented as dependent on a single network.

Any live implementation would require independent legal, regulatory, tax, custody, security, market-liquidity, smart-contract, and product-structure analysis. Constituents and weights may change before any implementation.

Rules before *reaction.*

The methodology is designed to be explainable: screen for quality, apply measured weights, and review on a defined cadence.

01

Quality screen

Assess liquidity, network relevance, operating history, custody support, and implementation readiness.

02

Measured weights

Use a capped allocation model intended to balance conviction and diversification across ten roles.

03

Quarterly review

Review eligibility and weights on a documented cadence, with extraordinary review criteria defined in advance.

Potential eligibility considerations

- Market liquidity and depth
- Network reliability
- Custody and operational support
- Regulatory and compliance review
- Security history
- Role differentiation

Potential extraordinary review triggers

Critical technical failure, sustained liquidity deterioration, material security events, custody limitations, or a significant legal or regulatory development may justify an off-cycle review.

From concept to *credible structure.*

A good product begins by separating a strong story from the work required to make it real.

PHASE 01 / DESIGN

Refine brand, basket thesis, eligibility principles, and communication system.

PHASE 02 / VALIDATION

Complete legal, regulatory, tax, security, custody, and technical feasibility analysis.

PHASE 03 / SPECIFICATION

Publish final methodology, governance approach, implementation model, and disclosures.

PHASE 04 / REVIEW

Establish ongoing transparency reporting, constituent review, and incident procedures.

What the concept does not assume

- It does not assume that diversification eliminates risk.
- It does not assume that historical market relevance predicts future performance.
- It does not assume that a tokenized basket is appropriate in every jurisdiction.
- It does not assume a launch before independent professional review.

Clarity includes *the hard parts.*

Digital assets are volatile, technically complex, and exposed to legal, operational, and market risks. A basket does not remove those risks.

Market risk

Constituent values may fall sharply, simultaneously, and without warning. Liquidity can change quickly.

Technology risk

Networks, custody systems, bridges, contracts, and infrastructure may fail or be exploited.

Regulatory risk

Legal classification, availability, taxation, and permitted product structures vary by jurisdiction and may change.

Methodology risk

Screening and weighting rules may not achieve their intended result. Rebalancing can create costs and new exposures.

Counterparty risk

Any implementation may depend on service providers whose failure could affect access, valuation, or operations.

No performance promise

Nothing in this concept paper is a forecast, guarantee, or recommendation.

Important: This document is for creative discussion only. Before presenting a live financial, tokenized, index-linked, or investment product, obtain qualified legal and regulatory advice in every relevant jurisdiction and prepare full product-specific disclosures.